1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MARCUS RUBEN ELLINGTON, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-04-0666 DFL KJM P 12 VS. 13 E.S. ALAMEIDA, et al., 14 Defendants. **ORDER** 15 On March 30, 2006, plaintiff filed a request for reconsideration of the magistrate 16 17 judge's order filed March 16, 2006, denying plaintiff's motion for summary judgment without 18 prejudice to refiling. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 72-303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be 19 upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court 20 finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law. The court notes that the magistrate judge has now issued Findings and Recommendations 21 22 related to the motion for preliminary injunction. 23 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the //// 24 25 26 <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff has entitled the document "objections."

## Case 2:04-cv-00666-RSL-JLW Document 86 Filed 05/10/06 Page 2 of 2

magistrate judge filed March 16, 2006, is affirmed. DATED: 5/9/2006 DAVID F. LEVI United States District Judge /elli0666.850